

**CITY OF ONTARIO PLANNING COMMISSION/
HISTORIC PRESERVATION MEETING**

MINUTES

October 24, 2023

CONTENTS	PAGE
PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE.....	2
ANNOUNCEMENTS.....	2
PUBLIC COMMENTS.....	2
CONSENT CALENDAR	
A-01. Minutes of September 26, 2023	2
PUBLIC HEARINGS	
B. File No. PHP23-007	2
C. File No. PHP23-005	3
D. File Nos. PSPA22-006, PMTT22-025 & PDEV22-034	4
E. File No. PSPA21-005	6
F. File Nos. PSPA21-006, PMTT22-024, PDEV22-037 & PDEV22-029	8
G. File Nos. PGPA23-001 & PZC23-003	9
MATTERS FROM THE PLANNING COMMISSION.....	11
DIRECTOR’S REPORT.....	11
ADJOURNMENT	11

**CITY OF ONTARIO PLANNING COMMISSION/
HISTORIC PRESERVATION MEETING**

MINUTES

October 24, 2023

REGULAR MEETING: City Hall, 303 East B Street
Called to order by Chairperson DeDiemar at 6:30 PM

COMMISSIONERS

Present: Chairperson DeDiemar, Vice-Chairman Gage, Anderson, Dean, Del Turco, Lampkin and Ricci

Absent: None

OTHERS PRESENT: Community Development Director Murphy, Community Development Assistant Director Zeledon, City Attorney Guiboa, Sustainability Manager Ruddins, Senior Planner Ayala, Senior Planner Grahn, Senior Planner Hutter, Associate Planner Antuna, Associate Planner Vaughn, Assistant Planner Morales, Assistant City Engineer Lee, and Planning Secretary Berendsen

PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE TO THE FLAG

The Pledge of Allegiance was led by Commissioner Lampkin.

ANNOUNCEMENTS

Mr. Zeledon stated Item F is being requested to being continued to the November 28th meeting.

PUBLIC COMMENTS

No person from the public wished to speak at this time.

CONSENT CALENDAR ITEMS

A-01. MINUTES APPROVAL

Planning/Historic Preservation Commission Minutes of September 26, 2023, approved as written.

PLANNING COMMISSION ACTION

It was moved by Ricci, seconded by Anderson, to approve the Consent Calendar, as written. Roll call vote: AYES, Anderson, DeDiemar, Del Turco, and Ricci; NOES, none; RECUSE, Dean, Gage and Lampkin; ABSENT, none. The motion was carried 4-0.

PLANNING/HISTORIC COMMISSION PUBLIC HEARING ITEMS

B. MILLS ACT CONTRACT REVIEW FOR FILE NO. PHP23-007: A public hearing to consider a Mills Act Contract (Preservation Agreement) for a 3,414 square foot Craftsman style

single-family residence, a Contributor to the Villa Historic District, located at 311 West H Street, within the MDR-11 (Medium Density Residential-5.1 to 11.0 du/ac) zoning district. The Contract is not considered a project pursuant to Section 21065 of the CEQA Guidelines. (APN: 1048-271-09) **submitted by Michael and Cynthia Kerby. City Council actions is required.**

Associate Planner Antuna, presented the staff report. She stated that staff is recommending the Historic Planning Commission approve File No. PHP23-007, pursuant to the facts and reasons contained in the staff report and attached resolution, and subject to the conditions of approval.

Mr. Gage wanted to know of any notable people that lived in the house.

Ms. Antuna responded.

Mr. Gage wanted to clarify that this project was in the Villa historic district.

Ms. Antuna stated that is correct.

PUBLIC TESTIMONY

As there was no one wishing to speak, Chairperson DeDiemar closed the public testimony

Mr. Gage commented in favor of the Mills Act Program.

PLANNING COMMISSION ACTION

It was moved by Gage, seconded by Ricci, to recommend adoption of a Resolution to approve the Mills Act Contract, File No. PHP23-007, subject to conditions of approval. Roll call vote: AYES, Anderson, Dean, DeDiemar, Del Turco, Gage, Lampkin and Ricci; NOES, none; RECUSE, none; ABSENT, none. The motion was carried 7-0.

- C. **ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT AND CERTIFICATE OF APPROPRIATENESS REVIEW FOR FILE NO. PHP23-005:** A public hearing to consider a Certificate of Appropriateness to establish a management plan for the historic rock curbs located within the public-right-of-way (Citywide) for the purpose of identifying the location, historic significance, and to make recommendations on the preservation, repair, restoration or acceptable removal of the rock curbs. The project is categorically exempt from the requirements of the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) pursuant to Section 15331 (Class 31, Historical Resource Restoration/Rehabilitation) of the CEQA Guidelines; **City initiated.**

Associate Planner Antuna, presented the staff report. She stated that staff is recommending the Historic Planning Commission approve File No. PHP23-005, pursuant to the facts and reasons contained in the staff report and attached resolution, and subject to the conditions of approval.

Mr. Lampkin wanted to know the projects timeframe to complete an entire block.

Mr. Zeledon responded.

Mr. Lampkin wanted to know if they would do an entire street.

Ms. Antuna referred to Assistant City Engineer Lee.

Mr. Lee explained when curbs are replaced and time frames.

Mr. Gage read the staff report regarding grinding the asphalt when repaving and he wanted to know what

amount of grinding would be done.

Ms. Antuna responded.

Mr. Lee responded regarding the amount of grinding to be done and the idea would be to remove the layers and install concrete gutters next to the rock curb.

Mr. Gage wanted to clarify that that is the way Mr. Lee is interpreting this standard.

Mr. Lee stated yes that is correct.

Mr. Gage wanted

Mr. Lee stated they need to modify the standards and be surveyed and the drainage needs to be looked at too.

Mr. Zeledon explained why the program is needed.

Ms. Anderson wanted to know if there is a schedule built in to access the curbs on a regular basis.

Ms. Antuna responded.

Mr. Del Turco commented on the Historic Districts and the importance of the curbs adding value to the neighborhoods.

PUBLIC TESTIMONY

As there was no one wishing to speak, Chairperson DeDiemar closed the public testimony

Mr. Lampkin commented on Del Turco's comment and spoke in favor of the program.

Mr. Gage spoke in favor of the program.

PLANNING COMMISSION ACTION

It was moved by Lampkin, seconded by Del Turco, to adopt a Resolution to approve the Certificate of Appropriateness, File No. PHP23-005, subject to conditions of approval. Roll call vote: AYES, Anderson, Dean, DeDiemar, Del Turco, Gage, Lampkin and Ricci; NOES, none; RECUSE, none; ABSENT, none. The motion was carried 7-0.

- D. ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT, SPECIFIC PLAN AMENDMENT, TENTATIVE PARCEL MAP, AND DEVELOPMENT PLAN REVIEW FOR FILE NOS. PSPA22-006, PMTT22-025, AND PDEV22-034:** A public hearing to consider the following: [1] An amendment to the California Commerce Center Specific Plan (File No. PSPA22-006), to change the land use designation from Commercial/Food/Hotel to Light Industrial, update exhibits and text to support the change, and bring the Specific Plan into conformance with The Ontario Plan 2050 (General Plan); [2] A Tentative Parcel Map (File No. PMTT22-025) to consolidate two existing parcels into one and facilitate the vacation of a portion of Woodruff Way; and [3] A Development Plan (File No. PDEV22-034) to raze approximately 161,320 square feet of commercial buildings and construct one 344,110 square-foot industrial building, on 16.65 acres of land generally located at the southeast corner of Rockefeller Avenue and Wanamaker Avenue, at 1350 and 1375 S. Woodruff Way, within the proposed Light Industrial Land Use Designation of the California Commerce Center Specific Plan. An Addendum to The Ontario Plan 2050 Supplemental Environmental Impact Report (State Clearinghouse No. 2021070364, which was

certified by the City Council on August 16, 2022), was prepared. This application introduces no new significant environmental impacts. The proposed project is located within the Airport Influence Area of Ontario International Airport and was evaluated and found to be consistent with the policies and criteria of the Ontario International Airport Land Use Compatibility Plan; (APNs: 0238-201-41 and 0238-221-22) **submitted by Link Logistics Real Estate Management LLC. City Council action is required for File No. PSPA22-006. The Development Advisory Board recommended approval of File Nos. PMTT22-025 and PDEV22-034 on September 18, 2023, with a unanimous vote. This item was continued from the September 26, 2023 Planning Commission meeting.**

Associate Planner Vaughn, presented the staff report. She stated that staff is recommending the Planning Commission recommend approval to City Council for the Addendum and File No. PSPA22-006 and approve File Nos. PMTT22-025 and PDEV22-034, pursuant to the facts and reasons contained in the staff report and attached resolutions, and subject to the conditions of approval.

Mr. Lampkin wanted to know about the current use of Woodruff and the traffic circulation.

Mr. Zeledon responded.

Mr. Lampkin commented on the use of the street.

Mr. Del Turco wants to know the if the dealership would become an auto area at the new location.

Mr. Zeledon responded

PUBLIC TESTIMONY

Tom Cruikshank, the applicant, spoke regarding Link Logistics and the project.

Ms. DeDiemar asked if the applicant agreed with the conditions of approval.

Mr. Cruikshank stated yes.

Mr. Lampkin wanted to know about hiring local.

Mr. Cruikshank stated yes, they have project labor agreements already in place.

Mr. Lampkin wanted to know the changes in the traffic patterns.

Mr. Cruikshank explained the traffic patterns.

Mr. Lampkin wanted to know if there would be any infrastructure improvements associated with traffic.

Mr. Cruikshank explained.

Mr. Lampkin wanted to know if there would be any improvements on the west side of the property.

Mr. Cruikshank stated no.

Mr. Lampkin wanted to know the percentage of landscape.

Mr. Cruikshank stated 15.5 percent.

Mr. Lampkin wanted to know the landscape setback.

Ms. Vaughn responded.

David Hanson with Local 398, spoke in favor of the project.

Joshua Campos spoke in favor of the project.

Zac Strasters representing CARE CA, spoke in favor of the project and Link Logistics.

Amy Smith representing CARE CA, spoke in favor of the project.

Eric Saavedra spoke in favor of the project.

JR Ortis spoke in favor of the project.

Robert Ramos with Iron Workers Local 416, spoke in favor of the project.

Louie Lopez with Iron Workers Local 433 spoke in favor of the project.

Thomas Ruiz with LIUNA Local 783, spoke in favor of the project.

Rafael Legido SWMSRCC Local 909, spoke in favor of the project.

As there was no one else wishing to speak, Chairperson DeDiemar closed the public testimony

Mr. Gage spoke in favor of the project.

PLANNING COMMISSION ACTION

It was moved by Lampkin, seconded by Anderson, to recommend adoption of a Resolution to approve the Addendum, subject to conditions of approval. Roll call vote: AYES, Anderson, Dean, DeDiemar, Del Turco, Gage, Lampkin and Ricci; NOES, none; RECUSE, none; ABSENT, none. The motion was carried 7-0.

It was moved by Ricci, seconded by Del Turco, to recommend adoption of a Resolution to approve the Specific Plan Amendment, File No. PSPA22-006, subject to conditions of approval. Roll call vote: AYES, Anderson, Dean, DeDiemar, Del Turco, Gage, Lampkin and Ricci; NOES, none; RECUSE, none; ABSENT, none. The motion was carried 7-0.

It was moved by Lampkin, seconded by Ricci, to adopt Resolutions to approve the Tentative Parcel Map, File No. PMTT22-025 and the Development Plan, File No. PDEV22-034, subject to conditions of approval. Roll call vote: AYES, Anderson, Dean, DeDiemar, Del Turco, Gage, Lampkin and Ricci; NOES, none; RECUSE, none; ABSENT, none. The motion was carried 7-0.

- E. **ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT AND SPECIFIC PLAN AMENDMENT FOR FILE NO. PSPA21-005**: A public hearing to consider certification of the Subsequent Environmental Impact Report (SEIR) (State Clearinghouse No. 2004011009), including the adoption of a Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program and a Statement of Overriding Considerations, in conjunction with an Amendment (File No. PSPA21-005) to the Subarea 29 Specific Plan, to include: [1] The annexation of 113.2 gross acres of land, located on the southwest corner of Haven Avenue and Eucalyptus Avenue and establish Planning Area 32 (Mixed Residential (5-25 du/ac), Planning Area 33 (Mixed Residential (5-25 du/ac), and Planning Area 34 (School), [2] Change the land use designation for Planning Area 30 from Conventional Large Lot (3-6 du/ac)

to Mixed Residential (5-25 du/ac) and Planning Area 31 from Conventional Medium Lot (4-6 du/ac) to Mixed Residential (5-25 du/ac) to bring the Specific Plan into compliance with The Ontario Plan Policy Plan (General Plan) land use plan, [3] Establish development standards for 7 new product types within the residential land use designations, and [4] Various changes to the Specific Plan land use map, land use table, development standards, exhibits, and text modification to reflect the proposed annexation and land use changes. The Subarea 29 Specific Plan is bounded by Eucalyptus Avenue to the north, the Cucamonga Creek Channel to the west, the County Line Channel and Bellegrave Avenue to the south, and Mill Creek Avenue to the east. The proposed project is located within the Airport Influence Area of Ontario International Airport and was evaluated and found to be consistent with the policies and criteria of the Ontario International Airport Land Use Compatibility Plan (ALUCP); The project site is also located within the Airport Influence area of Chino Airport and is consistent with policies and criteria set forth within the Chino Airport Land Use Compatibility Plan (ALUCP) (Development Code, Reference Section I); (APNs: 0218-331-12, 0218-331-14, 0218-331-18, 0218-331-30, 0218-331-31, and 0218-331-52) submitted by SL Ontario Development Company, LLC. **City Council action is required.**

Associate Planner Aguilo, presented the staff report. She stated that staff is recommending the Planning Commission recommend approval to City Council for the Subsequent Environmental Impact Report and File No. PSPA21-005, pursuant to the facts and reasons contained in the staff report and attached resolutions, and subject to the conditions of approval.

Mr. Del Turco wanted to know about the parking for the 3 story units.

Mr. Zeledon responded garage or tandem parking on the first level, depending on number of bedrooms.

Mr. Del Turco clarified that 1 bedroom units would have a 1 parking spot.

Mr. Zeledon stated that is correct.

Mr. Lampkin wanted to know the response to the comparisons other projects with tandem parking.

Mr. Zeledon responded.

Mr. Lampkin wanted to know if the parking would be enforced with CC&R's.

Mr. Zeledon stated yes.

Mr. Lampkin wanted to know if this project assists with our RHNA numbers.

Mr. Zeledon stated yes.

Mr. Gage wanted to know the percentage allowed for tandem parking.

Mr. Zeledon responded the Development Code states 12% or less.

Mr. Gage wanted to know what percentage of is the project requesting.

Mr. Zeledon stated none at this time, as they are just allowing the product type.

Mr. Gage wanted to clarify about the middle school moved areas and if there was a decrease in acreage.

Mr. Zeledon explained and stated the School district is in support of the recommended move.

Mr. Gage wanted to know if this is the Mountain View School District.

Mr. Zeledon stated yes.

Mr. Ricci wanted to know if there were floor plans.

Mr. Zeledon stated these are just standards, the floor plans will come in with the development plan.

Mr. Del Turco regarding parking study to evaluate street parking for these types of projects.

Mr. Zeledon responded.

PUBLIC TESTIMONY

Sage McCleve with SL Development Company explained about the flexibility of density and the details will be in the Development Plan and explained about working with school district regarding the middle school move.

Ms. DeDiemar asked if the applicant agreed with the conditions of approval.

Mr. McCleve stated yes.

Mr. Lampkin wanted to know what percentage of housing would be low or affordable.

Mr. McCleve stated the area would be affordable by design with a wide variety of types and densities will support more affordable housing in the Ontario Ranch area.

As there was no one else wishing to speak, Chairperson DeDiemar closed the public testimony

Mr. Gage spoke about tandem parking within the city and stated he will be in support of the project.

Mr. Lampkin spoke about RHNA numbers and in favor of the project.

PLANNING COMMISSION ACTION

It was moved by Lampkin, seconded by Dean, to recommend adoption a of Resolution to approve the Subsequent Environmental Impact Report, subject to conditions of approval. Roll call vote: AYES, Anderson, Dean, DeDiemar, Del Turco, Gage, Lampkin and Ricci; NOES, none; RECUSE, none; ABSENT, none. The motion was carried 7-0.

It was moved by Ricci, seconded by Lampkin, to recommend adoption of a Resolution to approve the Specific Plan Amendment, File No. PSPA21-005, subject to conditions of approval. Roll call vote: AYES, Anderson, Dean, DeDiemar, Del Turco, Gage, Lampkin and Ricci; NOES, none; RECUSE, none; ABSENT, none. The motion was carried 7-0.

- F. **ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT, SPECIFIC PLAN AMENDMENT, TENTATIVE TRACT MAP, AND DEVELOPMENT PLAN REVIEW FOR FILE NOS. PSPA21-006, PMTT22-024 (TTM 20487), PDEV22-037, AND PDEV22-029:** A public hearing to consider certain entitlements that are located within the Parkside Specific Plan area, which is approximately 250-acres of land and has 23 planning areas, bordered by Carpenter Avenue on the west, Archibald Avenue on the east, Eucalyptus Avenue on the south, and Ontario Ranch Road on the north, as follows: 1] An Amendment to the Parkside Specific Plan (File No. PSPA21-006) to; a) increase the residential unit capacity from 1,947 to 2,851 dwelling units by modifying density ranges in all residential planning areas and adjusting certain planning area boundaries; b)

decrease commercial land use from 11.15 acres of land to 2.77 acres of land within Planning Area 19; c) decrease Great Park land use from 54.4 acres of land to approximately 33.4 acres within Planning Area 21; d) increase residential land use from 9.58 acres of land to 27.81 acres within Planning Areas 17 and 18; e) update certain development standards and introduce new building types; and f) eliminate the private open space land use in Planning Areas 23, 24, and 25; 2] Tentative Tract Map No. 20487 (File No. PMTT22-024) to subdivide 31.70 acres of land into 5 numbered lots for condominium purposes located within Planning Areas 17, 18, and 19; 3] A Development Plan (File No. PDEV22-037) to construct 508 apartment units on 30 acres of land located at the southwest corner of Archibald Avenue and Ontario Ranch Road within Planning Areas 17 and 18; and 4] A Development Plan (File No. PDEV22-029) to construct a park on 16.34 acres of land generally located east of the Cucamonga Creek Channel, west of Archibald Avenue and adjacent to East Grand Park Street and East Griffith Court within Planning Area 21. An Addendum to the Parkside Specific Plan (File No. PSP03-002) Environmental Impact Report (SCH# 2004011008) certified by the City Council on September 5, 2006, was prepared. This Application introduces no new significant environmental impacts. The proposed project is located within the Airport Influence Area of Ontario International Airport and was evaluated and found to be consistent with the policies and criteria of the Ontario International Airport Land Use Compatibility Plan. The project site is also located within the Airport Influence area of Chino Airport and was evaluated and found to be consistent with the policies and criteria of the Chino Airport Land Use Compatibility Plan; (APNs: 0218-221-10, 0218-221-11, 0218-231-45, 0218-231-04, 0218-231-08, 0218-231-10, 0218-231-11, 0218-231-13, 0218-231-15, 0218-231-16, 0218-231-23, 0218-231-24, 0218-231-31, 0218-231-32, 0218-231-34, 0218-231-36, 0218-231-39, 0218-063-06, 0218-063-07, 0218-073-04, 0218-073-06, 0218-073-07, 0218-063-04 and 0218-231-47, and 0218-231-48); **submitted by SC Ontario Development Company, LLC. City Council action is required for File No. PSPA21-006.**

Ms. DeDiemar Opened the Public Hearing

No one wished to speak on this item at this time.

This item is being requested to be continued to the November 28, 2023 Planning Commission meeting. Motion carried 7-0.

G. ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT, GENERAL PLAN AMENDMENT, AND ZONE CHANGE FOR FILE NO. PGPA23-001 AND PZC23-003: A City initiated request to amend the Policy Plan (General Plan) component of The Ontario Plan to: [1] Modify the Land Use Plan (Exhibit LU-01) and Exhibit LU-03 (Future Buildout) changing the land use designation for 3.8 acres of land from High-Density Residential to Mixed Use - Downtown, [2] Modify the Land Use Plan (Exhibit LU-01) and Exhibit LU-03 (Future Buildout) changing the land use designation for 9.3 acres of land, from Medium-Density Residential to Low-Density Residential, [3] Modify the Land Use Plan (Exhibit LU-01) and Exhibit LU-03 (Future Buildout) changing the land use designation for 4.7 acres of land from High-Density Residential to Medium-Density Residential, [4] Modify the Land Use Plan (Exhibit LU-01) and Exhibit LU-03 (Future Buildout) changing the land use designation for 9.8 acres of land from Business Park to Mixed Use – East Holt, and [5] Modify the text in the Land Use Designation Summary Table (Exhibit LU-02) to allow Civic uses within the Mixed-Use Downtown land use designation. A City-initiated Zone Change request to amend the Official Zoning Map: [1] rezoning 3.8 acres of land from HDR-45 (High-Density Residential) to MU-1 (Downtown Mixed Use), [2] rezoning of 9.8 acres of land from BP (Business Park) to MU-6 (East Holt Mixed Use), and [3] rezoning 5.75 acres of land from OL (Low-Intensity Office) to MU-1 (Downtown Mixed Use). Staff has prepared an Addendum to The Ontario Plan 2050 Supplemental Environmental Impact Report (State Clearinghouse No. 2021070364), certified by the City Council on August 16, 2022. This application introduces no new significant environmental impacts. The proposed project is located within the Airport Influence Area of Ontario International Airport (ONT) and was evaluated and found to be

consistent with the policies and criteria of the Airport Land Use Compatibility Plan (ALUCP) for ONT. (APNs: 0110-072-25, 0110-081-06, 0110-081-07, 1008-551-01, 1008-551-07, 1008-551-11, 1008-551-12, 1008-551-13, 1008-561-06, 1010-491-02, 1010-491-03, 1010-491-16, 1048-344-03, 1048-353-04, 1048-353-05, 1048-353-09, 1048-353-13, 1048-353-14, 1048-541-15, 1048-576-01, 1048-576-02, 1048-604-13, 1048-604-14, 1048-604-15). **City initiated. City Council action is required.**

Assistant Planner Morales, presented the staff report. He stated that staff is recommending the Planning Commission recommend approval to City Council for the Addendum and File Nos. PGPA23-001 and PZC23-003, pursuant to the facts and reasons contained in the staff report and attached resolutions, and subject to the conditions of approval.

PUBLIC TESTIMONY

Joseph Schwarz spoke regarding parking problems, and ingress and egress issues and the historic area regarding the changes.

Steve Ho wanted to know if this is low density.

Mr. Zeledon stated yes, explained it is changing back to low density.

Steve Ho had question regarding the change from medium to low density.

Mr. Zeledon explained

Steve Ho stated it goes back to low density and talked about affordable housing.

Greg Gomez a resident on Princeton Street and spoke regarding a 70 unit apartment complex behind him.

Mr. Zeledon stated yes and explained about the project.

Mr. Gomez spoke regarding the traffic and privacy.

Mr. Zeledon responded.

Mr. Gomez spoke regarding the notices and not wanting apartments behind his house.

Ms. DeDiemar stated the commissions appreciation of residents coming out.

Leo Gomez spoke regarding traffic and privacy.

Lisa Pove spoke in opposition of Holt Blvd. area change.

Zeledon responded.

Myra Rios wanted to know the process to change the zoning.

Mr. Zeledon responded.

Ms. Rios wanted to clarify the owner would need to request to change it.

Mr. Zeledon stated yes.

As there was no one else wishing to speak, Chairperson DeDiemar closed the public testimony

Mr. Lampkin wanted clarity on the historic preservation area.

Mr. Zeledon responded.

Mr. Lampkin wanted some clarity regarding SB30 that has influenced these changes.

Mr. Zeledon responded.

Mr. Lampkin wanted to clarify that State legislatures influences these changes.

Mr. Zeledon stated that is correct.

Mr. Lampkin spoke regarding the resident's concerns.

PLANNING COMMISSION ACTION

It was moved by Ricci, seconded by Anderson, to recommend adoption of Resolution to approve the Addendum, subject to conditions of approval. Roll call vote: AYES, Anderson, Dean, DeDiemar, Del Turco, Gage, Lampkin and Ricci; NOES, none; RECUSE, none; ABSENT, none. The motion was carried 7-0.

It was moved by Lampkin, seconded by Dean, to recommend adoption of Resolutions to approve the General Plan Amendment, File No. PGPA23-001, and the Zone Change, File No. PZC23-003, subject to conditions of approval. Roll call vote: AYES, Anderson, Dean, DeDiemar, Del Turco, Gage, Lampkin and Ricci; NOES, none; RECUSE, none; ABSENT, none. The motion was carried 7-0.

MATTERS FROM THE PLANNING COMMISSION

Old Business Reports From Subcommittees

Historic Preservation (Standing): Met on October 11, 2023

Mr. Gage recapped the meeting.

New Business

Mr. Lampkin gave a report regarding the CA League of Cities Conference he attended.

NOMINATIONS FOR SPECIAL RECOGNITION

None at this time.

DIRECTOR'S REPORT

Mr. Zeledon stated the Monthly Activity Reports were available.

ADJOURNMENT

DeDiemar adjourned the meeting at 9:06 PM, to the next meeting on November 28, 2023.

Gwen Beckson

Secretary Pro Tempore

Nancy L. Wilchman

Chairman, Planning Commission